





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Dox 1459 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,652	11/27/2001	Bernard Klein	USB 98BEIDMPOI	5268
466 7:	590 05/07/2003			
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202		OR	BELYAVSKYI,	, MICHAIL A
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	17
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	1,5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
. Advisory Action	09/890,652	KLEIN ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michail A Belyavskyi	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extens originally set in the final Office action;	sion
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying th	е
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendmen	t
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Sec</u>	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 32 and 33.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>21-24,26 and 28-31</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 20,25 and 27.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 20 " and progenitor cells present in an amount of at least 0.1 %, said percentage being expressed with respect to the total number of cells" and newly proposed claims 34-39 raises new issue that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant arguments have been fully considered, but have not been found convincing. Applicant arguments addresed amended claims which are not currently entered.

Continuation of 10. Other: This case is technically abandoned because the period for reply expired on 4/28/03 and Applicant has not obtained any extension of time.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600